

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

REDUCING INFRASTRUCTURE COSTS THROUGH INCREASED USE OF TELEWORK

DRAFT REPORT

September 30, 2002

OIG-01-13-AMR

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXEC	CUTIVE	E SUMMARY 1	l
1.0	INTR	ODUCTION 4	1
	1.1	Background	1
		1.1.1 Infrastructure Costs41.1.2 Telework51.1.3 Federal Government and Telework71.1.4 EEOC and Telework7	5 7
	1.2	Purpose of Review)
	1.3	Scope and Methodology)
2.0	COST	'S AND BENEFITS OF FREQUENT TELEWORK FOR EEOC 13	3
	2.1	Key Factors Affecting Frequent Telework at EEOC 14	1
		 2.1.1 Suitability of Positions for Frequent Telework	7
	2.2	Monetary Costs and Benefits of Frequent Telework 22	2
		2.2.1 Major Costs and Saving Categories222.2.2 Results from the Cost Model252.2.3 Potential Savings at EEOC Headquarters and Other Offices28	5
	2.3	Conclusions and Recommendations	3
APPE	NDICE	CS	

Appendix A	Acronym List
Appendix B	Federal Government Telework Information
Appendix C	Sources of Additional Telework Information

EEOC Office of Inspector General

ΕΧΗΙΒΙΤ S

Exhibit 1	Selected EEOC Infrastructure Costs, Fiscal Years 2001-2003 5
Exhibit 2	Advantages and Disadvantages of Telework
Exhibit 3	Public Law 106-346 And Other Federal Policies and Guidance 7
Exhibit 4	Number of Regular Teleworkers, At Four Field Offices and EEOC Overall 8
Exhibit 5	Telework Questionnaire, Number and Rate of Responses 11
Exhibit 6	Cumulative Net Savings for Frequent Telework Practical Aspects 13
Exhibit 7	Type of EEOC Field Staff Performing Telework Suitable Tasks 15
Exhibit 8	Type of EEOC Field Staff Performing Tasks Poorly Suited to Telework 16
Exhibit 9	Staff Interest in Frequent Telework 18
Exhibit 10	Primary Reasons to Telework 19
Exhibit 11	Opinions about Smaller Workspace and Office Sharing 19
Exhibit 12	Reasons Not to Telework 21
Exhibit 13	Costs to Implement Frequent Telework 23
Exhibit 14	Cumulative Savings for Each Office and for All Four Offices 25
Exhibit 15	Cumulative Savings under the Optimal and Survey Model 26
Exhibit 16	Annual Cost Savings due to Frequent Telework, Optimum Model 26
Exhibit 17	Overall Savings as a Percent of Annual Real Estate Costs

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Our review found that implementation of frequent telework could result in substantial net savings for each of the four field offices we studied. The cost model shows net savings of about \$1.3 million in the first five years, with substantial savings every year thereafter. The table below shows that each office¹ achieves substantial cost savings.

Cumulative Savings (Negative Numbers in Parentheses)

Field Office	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Dallas	\$(190,533)	\$ (90,660)	\$14,880	\$126,302	\$243,829
Los Angeles	(152,992)	(40,146)	73,559	188,129	303,568
Miami	(179,536)	(43,879)	98,595	248,146	405,041
Washington, D.C.	(46,923)	40,457	132,346	228,924	330,379
Totals	(569,984)	(134,228)	319,381	791,501	1,282,818

Source: Clifton Gunderson LLP, EEOC Telework Cost Model, August 2002

These cost savings are calculated using the Optimum Model, whereby 85 percent of those employees well suited for telework (Investigators, Administrative Judges, and Trial Attorneys, and Mediators) are required to telework two or more days per week, allowing more efficient use of central office space through office sharing or similar arrangements. Cost savings under the Survey Model are about one-half of those in the Optimum Model. The Survey Model uses the number of interested teleworkers as self-identified in the survey. Both models include office sharing that results in reduced space needs, thereby lowering costs for real estate and producing savings that are substantially higher than the costs to set up and maintain a frequent telework program. Cost savings for the offices in commercial space depend on implementing frequent telework when a lease expires (the Washington Field Office and Miami District Office leases expire in April 2004).

Telework, also referred to in the federal government as Flexiplace, is a work arrangement in which employees perform their job duties away from their central workplace occasionally or frequently. Many private and public organizations cite telework as a method to achieve many benefits, including real estate savings, improved productivity, and increased employee satisfaction. Frequent teleworkers need not have space that is dedicated for their personal use. Therefore, we built our cost model with the assumption that each teleworker would share an existing office and will be assigned an individual workstation. Accordingly, no desk sharing is required. This eliminates the need for frequent teleworkers to reserve a given workspace for their use (known as hotelling).

¹ We chose to assess four field offices showing strong potential cost savings characteristics such as: an existing telework program, many staff, high rent costs, and difficult commuting environment.

This report is the result of an Office of Inspector General (OIG) review of potential costs and benefits of frequent telework at four EEOC field offices. The primary objective was to determine if EEOC can save on infrastructure costs and achieve other benefits through extensive use of telework, while sustaining or improving mission performance.

Key questions addressing the objective included:

- What types of staff are suitable for frequent telework?
- How do lease conditions affect the cost-effectiveness of frequent telework?
- What equipment, training, and other items do staff need to be productive frequent teleworkers?
- What are the impressions of Managers and staff on frequent telework?

The review was conducted in accordance with the applicable Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards as published in Comptroller General's Government Auditing Standards, 1994 Revision III, and took place from October 2001 through September 2002.

Our review found:

- Administrative Judges, Investigators, Mediators, and Trial Attorneys are well suited for frequent telework
- Most Managers, Supervisors, and staff see substantial benefits for frequent telework, including providing opportunities for focused, concentrated thought, better work-life balance, monetary benefits, and reduced stress
- Many staff are concerned whether some individual staff, and the office as a whole, can meet the needs of customers and stakeholders in a frequent telework environment
- Frequent teleworkers need these items to be effective--computer with standard EEOC software, capability for good quality copying, faxing, and printing, internet service, EEOC email access, strong phone service-including long distance calling, telework training, and additional storage space
- For the two offices in commercial spaces (WFO and Miami), our project verified that timing for implementing telework is critical for cost savings. Cost analysis and expert opinion show that commercial space is prohibitively expensive to vacate before the lease expires
- Savings are significantly higher under the Optimal Model than under the Survey Model. After five years, cumulative savings for the Optimal Model are \$1.3 million, which is \$600,000 greater than the Survey Model savings—\$0.7 million
- Annual savings are strongly negative in the first year, due to start up costs. In the second through fifth years, savings are large and steady
- Because the lease for the Headquarters building expires in 2008, and simply vacating commercial space before lease expiration is not cost effective, it would be challenging for EEOC to achieve real estate savings at its Headquarters building by simply vacating

Major conclusions and all recommendations are highlighted below-the full results of this review are described throughout the report.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Major cost savings can be achieved at each of the four offices studied, beginning in the second year of frequent telework. Major cumulative savings begin in the third year.
- 2. Federal office space offers greater flexibility in when to implement frequent telework to achieve cost savings.
- 3. Savings are maximized through use of the Optimum Model.
- 4. Due to the up front costs of frequent telework, and the financial advantages to beginning frequent telework near lease expiration, a nationwide pilot would not be prudent.
- 5. If savings estimated in the cost model could be applied to all EEOC district offices, savings would be substantial, and average about 10% of real estate costs for those offices, over a five year period.
- 6. Options for real estate savings through frequent telework may be possible for the Headquarters Building (e.g., subleasing parts of the building, bringing the WFO into the Headquarters building, or buying out of the lease).

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the conclusions, two recommendations are offered.

Recommendation 1

Consider implementing a pilot frequent telework initiative to achieve cost savings for one or more

- of the following offices we visited and identified as having the potential for substantial cost savings:
 - Dallas District Office
 - Los Angeles District Office
 - Miami District Office
 - Washington Field Office

Frequent teleworking at each of the four field offices should seek to maximize cost savings while minimizing financial and program risks.

Recommendation 2

EEOC should join one or more telework organizations, such as Mid Atlantic Telework Association and Council and International Atlantic Telework Association and Council. Benefits include access to telework experts and opportunities to network with other federal agencies engaged in telework efforts. Additional detail concerning findings, conclusions, and recommendations are provided throughout this report. The following pages contain details on items reported in the Executive Summary, including:

- Table of Contents
- Introduction
- Costs and Benefits of Frequent Telework for EEOC
- Appendices

INTRODUCTION

This section provides an overview of the study, and presents infrastructure and telework information useful in understanding the study. In addition, EEOC telework policies and practices are reviewed. Also, this section provides information on the purpose, scope, and methodology of this study.

Study Overview

This study seeks to determine if EEOC can save on infrastructure costs and achieve other benefits through extensive use of telework while sustaining or improving mission performance. Telework, also referred to in the federal government as Flexiplace, is a work arrangement in which employees perform their job duties away from their central workplace occasionally or frequently. Many private and public organizations cite telework as a method to achieve many benefits, including real estate savings, improved productivity, and increased employee satisfaction.

In addition to cost savings and more efficient use of infrastructure, greater use of telework supports the Strategic Human Capital Management goal contained in the President's Management Reform Agenda. Increased use of telework could also provide EEOC with an additional tool to acquire and retain the talent and leadership it needs.

This study examines costs and benefits of implementing frequent telework in four of EEOC's field offices (referred to henceforth as "the offices we visited")—Dallas, Los Angeles, Miami, and the Washington Field Office (WFO). In addition, this provides information to cost and benefit issues for frequent telework at the Headquarters building in Washington, D.C. and other EEOC facilities. Where strong potential for cost savings are demonstrated, OIG recommends consideration of a frequent telework effort.

1.1 BACKGROUND

1.1.1 Infrastructure Costs

Importance of Infrastructure

We define infrastructure as the non-personnel items that EEOC needs to operate. Infrastructure plays a key role in supporting Agency activities and staff recruitment and retention. For example, agency real estate is used by Agency employees as individual workspace, meeting space, and to conduct intake and other critical activities. In addition, effective information technology and telecommunications equipment is essential for Agency employees to communicate with each other efficiently, and to work with those involved in private and federal sector employment discrimination cases and other issues.

Frequent telework may have significant effects on the following infrastructure components:

- Real estate (owned or leased)
- Telecommunications
- Information technology
- Workstations
- Workstation furniture
- Other (e.g., printers)

Rental of office space and other key costs to support agency staff are a substantial portion of EEOC's budget, about 15 percent. Real estate (rental payments to GSA) is about ten percent. Exhibit 1 shows the budget impact of selected infrastructure components.

	A M O U N T (in \$ millions)					
BUDGET ITEM	FY 2001 Actual (% of Total Budget)	FY 2002 Estimate (% of Total Budget)	FY 2003 Request (% of Total Budget)			
	\$303.2 (100%)	\$310.4 (100%)	\$308.8 (100%)			
	24.3 (8.0%)	25.6 (8.3%)	29.2 (9.5%)			
	13.8 (4.6%)	10.0 (3.2%)	11.4 (3.7%)			
	3.4 (1.1%)	4.1 (1.3%)	4.2 (1.4%)			
	\$41.5 (13.7%)	\$39.7 (12.8%)	\$44.8 (14.5%)			

*Not all infrastructure items are included (e.g., overhead), therefore, total infrastructure costs are higher than cited. Source: EEOC Office of the Chief Financial Officer and Administrative Services

1.1.2 Telework

Definition

Many definitions of telework are provided in literature describing telework. The definition used for this report, is that telework is work performed on a regular basis in a location other than a principal office.

Pros and Cons of Telework Though the number of teleworkers nationwide is growing and many advantages may accrue to employers and employees engaging in telework, telework may cause undesirable effects.

Productivity gains, improved employee morale, and reduced infrastructure costs are cited as telework advantages. However, each of these benefits may or may not occur to the extent

EEOC Office of Inspector General

expected, unless planning and implementation are well executed. Exhibit 2, displays major advantages and disadvantages of telework, as identified in the literature. The telework cost model used in this study and the application of the model to offices we visited are covered in Chapter 2.

A D V A N T A G E S	D I S A D V A N T A G E S					
Business Costs and Productivity						
 Provides opportunity to reduce real estate and related costs (e.g., payment for rent and parking spaces) Allows some employees to work in a less distracting environment Promotes use of efficient technologies Reduces recruiting and training costs due to higher retention rate and the attraction of telework for many potential employees Provides employer with greater opportunity to hire productive workers who would have difficulty commuting frequently (e.g., workers who live 40-100 miles from the central office and mobility-impaired workers) Greater productivity from a more satisfied and experienced (due to higher retention rate) workforce Fewer lost work hours due to traffic jams and road conditions that cause workers to be late to work Fxtra work hours from marginally sick workers and parents of sick children who otherwise would take full sick days Less work disruption from emergencies 	 Risk of increased business costs (cost of outfitting employees for telework and related costs) Potential for some employees to try to work from a highly distracting home environment Inadequate equipment in the home/telecenter could hinder communication and productivity (e.g., lack of internet access) Risk of increased unhappiness for those employees unable to telework Risk of comprising job productivity and effectiveness (unless employer uses prudent selection and supervision of teleworkers) 					
Environmental						
 Reduced air pollution (fewer miles driven) Reduced land use requirements (e.g., parking lots) Decreased use of resources (e.g., roads and buildings) 	•None					
Quality of Life Issues						

Exhibit 2. Advantages and Disadvantages of Telework

 Less time spent commuting Greater opportunities to increase family involvement -flexibility for dependent care Increased flexibility in residence location 	•Risk of increased feelings of isolation (especially for those who telework frequently)			
Other				
•Fewer traffic injuries and deaths	•Inadequate safeguards/firewalls			

could lead to reduced security of proprietary and sensitive data

The number of teleworkers in the U.S. increased significantly in the last decade. In 1992, the U.S. Department of Transportation estimated there were two million teleworkers, or 1.6 percent of the labor force. A telework organization estimate for 2001 showed about 28 million teleworkers.

1.1.3 Federal Government and Telework

In April 2001, OPM reported that of the 97 federal agencies surveyed 49,680, or 2.8 percent, of their workforce teleworked on a regular basis. In an effort to encourage telework, the Federal government sponsors Telecenters. These 17 centers are located in the Washington DC area, in the states of Maryland, Virginia, and West Virginia. For a fee, telecenters provide space and equipment for federal workers.

P.L.106-346, §359 states that each executive agency shall establish a telework policy for eligible employees. By April 2004, all employees must be covered by the policies. Federal agencies report progress towards the goal to OPM. Exhibit 3 displays P.L. 106-346 and other federal policies and guidance.

Law or Guidance	Content	
	Requires executive agencies to establish telecommute program	
P.L.106-346, §359	Establishes timetable for inclusion of eligible Federal workers	
OPM Guidance to Heads of	States that current agency policies may not be in compliance with	
Executive Departments and	P.L.106-346, §359	
Agencies (February 9, 2001)	States that agencies should take a fresh look at barriers to	
	telecommuting, and remove those barriers	
Public Law 104-52, §620,	Authorizes federal organizations to use funds appropriated by any act	
(31USC 1348)	to pay for telecommuting equipment and monthly charges	

Exhibit 3. Public Law 106-346 and Other Federal Policies and Guidance

1.1.4 EEOC and Telework

The Agency's 2002 Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) between EEOC management and the American Federation of Government Employees, AFL-CIO (the Union), revised EEOC's telework program created in 1996, known as the Flexiplace Program. The Program allows an employee to work at sites other than the central office under pre-approved arrangements. The primary objective of the program, is to allow selected employees to work at alternative work sites on a regularly scheduled basis. Each field office and Headquarters office is required to participate.

The CBA cites the following as potential benefits of telework:

- Increased employee productivity
- Improved morale (reduced commuting times, increased control over his/her life)
- Improved environment (e.g., less pollution and congestion from cars)

Telework in EEOC and the Offices We Visited

EEOC reports that it has 474 regular teleworkers, 414 in field offices, and 60 at Headquarters. In addition, many staff from the New York District Office (NYDO) have been frequently teleworking since the September 11, 2001, attack on the World Trade Center.

Each of the four offices we visited had a substantial number of teleworkers who telework once or twice per week. Telework participation at the four subject offices we visited varies from 14 to 32 regular teleworkers in each office, with 1110f 386 employees listed as actually teleworking. Exhibit 4 shows telework statistics for each office we visited, as well as EEOC overall telework participation.

	Dallas	Los Angeles	Miami	WFO	EEOC
Number of	108	95	135	48	2886
Employees					
Number Regularly	32	19	46	14	474
Teleworking					
(excluding medical)					
Percentage	30.0%	20.0%	34.1%	29.2%	18.5%
Regularly					
Teleworking					

Exhibit 4. Number of Regular Teleworkers, at Four Offices and EEOC Overall

Source: EEOC (November 2001) this data was submitted to OPM

Survey results from the four offices we visited show that over 90% of the regular teleworkers (those who telework at least once per week) belong to one of the following positions:

- Administrative Judge
- Investigator
- Mediator
- Trial Attorney

1.2 Purpose of Review

Initial OIG objectives were to examine whether:

- The recent advent and implementation of technologies makes telecommuting, teleconferencing, and other innovations cost effective for a variety of employers
- Growth of jobs and population in the suburbs call for a fresh look at location of key infrastructure
- The creation of many low cost structures could support clients in central locations, such as suburban malls

After initial data gathering, the OIG chose to focus on telework as one tactical corridor as a means to more efficient use of infrastructure. Therefore, the primary objective of the study is to determine if increased use of telework could result in cost savings and other benefits while sustaining or improving mission performance.

Key questions to address the objective include:

- What types of staff are suitable for frequent telework?
- How do lease conditions affect the cost-effectiveness of frequent telework?
- What equipment, training, and other items does staff need to be productive frequent teleworkers?
- What are the impressions of Managers and staff on frequent telework?

While this study is *not* intended to recommend business process changes, effective frequent telework will bring about changes to the way teleworkers and their colleagues do business. For example, frequent teleworkers need to engage in greater use of teleconferencing than non-teleworkers. We have attempted to include the tools needed for teleworkers to work effectively.

1.3 Scope and Methodology

Scope

This report examines potential use of frequent telework (i.e., two or more days per week) by EEOC staff. A detailed review of all potential EEOC field offices regarding frequent telework issues would be resource-intensive and inefficient. Therefore, the scope of this study is a review of Agency infrastructure as it relates to telework and a detailed examination of costs and savings, as well as other telework issues, at selected field offices. We chose to assess four field offices showing strong potential cost savings characteristics such as: an existing telework program, many staff, high rent costs, and difficult commuting environment. The field offices we focused on in the study are:

- Dallas District Office
- Los Angeles District Office
- Miami District Office
- Washington Field Office

Methodology

OIG used five primary data gathering and analysis methods to achieve the objectives:

- Literature review
- Interviews of key EEOC staff and telework experts
- Focus groups (Supervisors and other staff in the four offices we visited)
- Survey of field staff in the four offices
- Telework cost model for the four offices

The OIG team conducted research and analysis of information that included:

- Definitions and history of telework
- Federal Government's role in telework
- Costs and benefits of telework
- Private and public sector examples of telework
- Cost models of telework
- Real estate issues involved in telework

The OIG team conducted interviews with EEOC staff and non-EEOC individuals that covered the following topics:

- Impressions of the existing telework program
- Pros and cons of implementing a frequent telework program
- Real estate and lease issues
- Recruiting and retention issues
- Cost savings and other potential benefits and costs to telework programs

The focus groups and survey instruments were used to gather data from the four offices we visited. These offices were chosen based on factors including:

- Existing telework program (some EEOC offices had no telework program)
- Potential cost savings (e.g., rent per square foot, number of square feet,)
- Lease flexibility (type of lease and, as applicable, lease expiration date)
- Commuting (e.g., commute times)

The OIG team facilitated focus groups of Supervisors, Investigators, Administrative Judges, Trial Attorneys, and other staff (including Mediators), at each of the four field offices that, demographic questions and open-ended discussion questions, covered the following topics:

- Type of work performed by each major group of employees
- Type of equipment and other items necessary and/or useful for the work the employee performs
- Key telework issues (e.g., reduction of office space options)
- Pros and cons (e.g., barriers to success) of a frequent telework program

The OIG team created the survey and ensured its distribution (paper copy) to EEOC staff in the four field offices we visited. The survey questions covered the following topics:

- Demographics of respondents (e.g., job title and years with EEOC)
- Telework information (e.g., whether a teleworker and work schedule)
- Opinions about key telework issues (e.g., whether interested in frequent telework)

The response rate for the survey was 67 percent. The questionnaire was made available to all Field Office staff at each of the offices we visited. Overall, 215 responded, for a response rate of 72 percent. Exhibit 5 shows responses data by office and overall.

Exhibit 5. Telework Questionnaire Number and Rate of Response

Office	Number of Staff	Number of Responses	Response Rate
Dallas	88	59	67%
Los Angeles	76	49	65%
Miami	104	72	69%
WFO	53	35	66%
Totals	321	215	67%

Notes: 1. Fieldwork was conducted in May and June 2002.

2. Number of staff as of June 17, 2002

3. Actual response rate may be *higher* than stated, given that some staff may have been on extended leave or otherwise unavailable to obtain and/or respond survey

The OIG team designed and built a telework cost model which was reviewed by staff from the General Services Administration (GSA). Consultants from Clifton Gunderson LLP and Futrend Corp., then helped OIG refine, populate, and run the reviewed cost model to determine if frequent use of telework could result in cost savings. The cost model in this report accounts for major costs and savings categories associated with implementing and maintaining a frequent telework program, including:

- Furniture
- Information Technology equipment and related expenses
- Real Estate
- Telecommunication equipment and services
- Telework Center fees
- Training

To properly isolate the costs and savings attributable to implementation of frequent telework our study assumed that other key, non-telework factors, would remain constant (e.g., no changes in FTE levels and general location of offices). Findings from the cost model are included in Chapter 2.

The OIG team for this study included:

- Deputy Inspector General
- A Senior OIG evaluator
- An OIG analyst knowledgeable in EEOC work processes and information system technology
- An expert panel consisting of General Services Administration staff knowledgeable in telework, costs for real estate, and IT and telecommunications equipment
- A small team of consultants from Clifton Gunderson LLP and Futrend Corporation with expertise in a variety of telework related issues

The study does *not* include analysis of:

- Appropriateness of the number and location of field offices
- Workload analysis (whether EEOC offices as a whole or individually are adequately staffed)
- Real estate savings that could be achieved through non-telework means (i.e., relocating to less costly locations, eliminating private offices for all staff, and closing offices)

The evaluation was conducted in accordance with the applicable Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards as published in Comptroller General's Government Auditing Standards, 1994 Revision III, and took place from December 2001 through September 2002.

This chapter provides findings and conclusions regarding implementation of frequent telework in offices we visited and the Headquarters Building. This section presents overall results, Section 2.1 examines the key factors associated with frequent telework at EEOC, Section 2.2 assesses costs and benefits of frequent telework at each of the four offices, and Section 2.3 examines conclusions and recommendations.

Overall Results

Our cost model shows that implementation of frequent telework could result in substantial overall net savings and large savings at each office. Savings are substantial for the two offices in federal space (Dallas and Los Angeles) and for the two offices in commercial space (WFO and Miami). So that savings could be grouped and compared, the cost models assume the enactment of a frequent telework program for all four offices in the Year 2003.² Savings are presented for the two models used in this study. The Optimum Model is calculated based on having 85% participation in frequent telework, from those staff in the four positions best suited for frequent telework. The Survey Model uses the number of interested teleworkers as self-identified in the survey. Exhibit 6 shows total savings, combining the savings of each of the four offices, for the first five years of a frequent telework program.

Exhibit 6. Cumulative Net Savings for Frequent Telework (Negative Numbers in Parentheses)

Year	Savings–Optimum Model	Savings-Survey Model
2003	\$(570,000)	\$(361,000)
2004	(134,000)	(110,000)
2005	319,000	152,000
2006	792,000	424,000
2007	1,283,000	708,000

Source: Clifton Gunderson LLP, EEOC Telework Cost Model, August 2002

To achieve these cost savings, staff would telework two or more days per week, allowing more efficient use of central office space through office sharing or similar arrangements. The office sharing would result in reduced space needs that would lead to lower costs for real estate, producing savings that are substantially higher than the costs to set up and maintain a frequent telework program. Frequent teleworking staff would be Investigators, Administrative Judges,

DRAFT

² For the purposes of the models, it was assumed that the commercial leases ended in 2003 and that for the federal owned buildings, office space would be reduced and returned to GSA in 2003

EEOC Office of Inspector General

and Trial Attorneys, and Mediators. These positions make up over one-half of field office staff and are well suited for frequent telework. Cost savings for the offices in commercial space depend on implementing frequent telework when a lease expires (the Washington Field Office and Miami leases expire in April 2004).

2.1 Key Factors Affecting Frequent Telework at EEOC

We identified the following as critical factors affecting whether a frequent telework program will allow an office to maintain or improve its overall performance:

- Suitability of position/staff member for frequent telework
- Equipment and related needs
- Staff and Managers attitudes towards frequent telework

Cost and savings implications for each of these key factors are discussed in Section 2.2

2.1.1 Suitability of Positions for Frequent Telework

- Different tasks are better suited than other tasks for telework. Some tasks, such as greeting and providing immediate assistance to walk-in clients, are so poorly matched with working in alternate locations that little research is needed to determine their suitability.
- However, much of the work that staff performs at EEOC is well suited for frequent telework. Telework studies, results from focus groups and interviews with EEOC staff, and telework policies show that several major categories of EEOC staff perform tasks well suited for consideration of frequent telework. The major groups of staff we decided are well suited for frequent telework ("the four groups") are:
- Administrative Judges
- Investigators
- Mediators
- Trial Attorneys

For example, staff from each of the four groups perform many tasks successfully performed by teleworkers in the private or public sector. Exhibit 7 shows the type of tasks performed by the major groups of EEOC field office staff and their general suitability for telework.

Tasks Well Suited for Telework	Field Office Staff Performing Task Frequently
Requires concentration and large blocks of uninterrupted, independent time	Trial Attorneys, Administrative Judges, Investigators, Supervisors, Mediators
Work that has well-defined beginning and end points	Trial Attorneys, Administrative Judges, Investigators, Administrative/Support Staff, Supervisors, Mediators
Work that is easily portable	Administrative Judges, Investigators, Supervisors, Mediators
Need for specialized material or equipment is minimal	Trial Attorneys, Administrative Judges, Investigators, Supervisors, Mediators
Work can be done with limited unplanned face-to-face communication and minimal supervision	Administrative Judges, Trial Attorneys, Mediators

Exhibit 7. Type of EEOC Field Staff Performing Telework Suitable Tasks

Tasks vary somewhat, but not substantially, between the same type of staff at the offices we visited. Many staff from the major groups of employees perform work that is tied closely to the central office location. Some of this work must be done at the central office. Exhibit 8 shows some major types of work that are closely tied to the central office location and the type of staff who perform this work.

Exhibit 8. Type of EEOC Field Staff Performing Tasks Poorly Suited for Telework

Task Not Well Suited for Telework	Field Office Staff Performing Task Frequently
Work that is not easily portable (such as preparation for a major trial)	Those responsible for receiving and sending large amounts of U.S. mail
Work that does not have well-defined beginning and end points	None
Specialized equipment or materials	Those responsible for receiving and sending large amounts of U.S. mail
Work that requires a great deal of "unplanned" face-to-face communication and/or extensive supervision	Staff involved with intake of walk-in customers (e.g., many administrative and, periodically, Investigators and those who supervise these staff)

Note: telephone, basic personal computer related tasks, basic mailing, and faxing of one's own work are not considered closely tied to the central workplace because of readily available and moderately priced portable technical solutions.

We note that because one type of staff has one or more tasks that are closely tied to the central office, this does not exclude this type of staff from frequent telework. In fact, many Supervisors and other staff may be able to successfully telework on a frequent basis. However, categories of staff not included in the group of four, and the circumstances of each individual within those categories, require closer examination before a well-considered decision to telework frequently should be made.

We also obtained information regarding the duties of other staff, including:

- Program analysts
- Paralegals
- Various administrative positions
- Reception

Generally, many of the "Other" staff have large amounts of work that is well suited for telework, as well as many responsibilities that keep them tied closely to the central office. For example, a budget analyst performs some work that has well-defined beginning and end points (review and preparation of budget documents). In addition, much work involving "Other" staff that is currently accomplished with face-to-face communication can be accomplished through use of e-mails and telephone calls. For example, a staff meeting to describe new policies could use conference-calling capabilities so that teleworkers could attend. Decisions about the suitability of "Other" staff for frequent telework could be made after an initial decision that a particular office should implement frequent telework.

2.1.2 Equipment and Other Needs of Frequent Teleworkers

If staff are *not* provided with adequate equipment, performance and morale may suffer markedly. What are the equipment needs for those staff whose work is well suited for frequent telework?

The major needs for teleworkers in the group of four (as found in the 20 focus groups and 215 Field Office questionnaires administered at the offices we visited; interviews with EEOC employees at Headquarters, the offices we visited and the New York office; and telework experts):

- Computer with standard EEOC software–non standard software creates compatibility issues and requires time consuming reformatting of text and data
- Capability for good quality copying, faxing, and printing-teleworkers and EEOC internal and external customers frequently need information in hard copy or faxed
- Internet service-many types of staff depend on the internet for research needs (e.g., access to legal research services such as Westlaw)
- EEOC email access (e-mail is critical for communicating to internal and external customers)
- Phone service–a separate phone line for business facilitates work tasks and provides additional privacy, safety and security
- Long distance service-teleworking staff consistently cited the need to frequently contact internal and external customers outside the local calling area (currently, an unmet need that negatively affected productivity for some teleworkers in the offices we visited)
- Telework training-lessons learned from public and private sector indicate the need to train all employees in a office that have teleworkers
- Storage space-frequent teleworkers may need additional secure storage space in the central office and/or at their remote work location

Central Office Needs for Frequent Teleworkers

A final need for frequent teleworkers is efficient central office space. When frequent teleworkers work in the central office, they need a workstation, adequate space, and equipment. Frequent teleworkers need not have space that is dedicated for their personal use. In hotelling, frequent teleworkers may reserve a given workspace for their use. Other teleworking programs use desk sharing, where two people share the same workstation.

We built our costs with the assumption that each teleworker would share an office, but not a workstation, with another teleworker. This assumption allows the cost model to be straight forward and reliable because it is simpler than a model including multiple types of worker space. In addition, office sharing is conducive to meeting the needs of many field office staff who frequently hold work-related telephone and in-person conversations of a private nature (office sharing assures that each office usually has only one occupant per day because frequent teleworkers can arrange their schedules to be in the office when their office mate is out, and vice versa). See section 2.1.3 for details on staff views of office space.

EEOC Office of Inspector General

2.1.3 Office Culture Regarding Telework

Employee views on frequent telework are one factor in determining the ease in which a program can be successfully implemented. Our project assumes that frequent telework can be implemented at the discretion of EEOC Headquarters management, given appropriate consultations with employee groups. However, employee (staff and Managers) attitudes are a critical component for success. For example, if the program resulted in extremely poor morale or inefficient working conditions, it could negatively affect overall office performance, thereby devaluing or even negating the effectiveness of frequent telework. In addition, staff views provide vital information (such as opinions about supervising teleworkers) for the planning and training that would take place prior to implementing frequent telework.

Many staff, about 57%, indicated they are interested in teleworking at least two days per week. This provides a general indication that staff are favorably inclined towards frequent telework. Exhibit 9 shows survey results on this issue.

Are You Interested in Teleworking More Or More often?	Number of Respondents	Percentage Answered
Yes - 4-5 days per week	42	19.5%
Yes - 3 days per week	41	19.1%
Yes - 2 days per week	39	18.1%
Yes - 1 day per week	36	16.7%
No	53	24.7%
No Response	4	1.9%

Exhibit 9. Staff Interest in Frequent Telework

Source: Telework Survey of employees from Dallas, Los Angeles, and Miami District Offices, and the WFO

We compared the answers to each survey question by office. There were only slight differences in the frequency of responses that were provided for most questions.

Many Managers, Supervisors, and staff in the offices we visited see substantial benefits for frequent telework. These benefits include increased ability to focus on certain tasks and financial gains. However, many Managers are also skeptical about staff and the office as a whole meeting the needs of customers and stakeholders in a frequent telework environment. Some staff share those concerns and also have concerns regarding office space, collegiality, and management of a frequent telework program.

Staff and Managers cited many other advantages to telework, including improved quality of life, monetary advantages, increased productivity, and reduced stress. Exhibit 10 shows number and percentage of respondents identifying specific advantages of telework.

What Are the Primary Reasons you Are Interested in Teleworking or Teleworking More Often?	Number of Respondents	Percentage of Respondents
Avoid commuting hassle	139	64.7%
Work in an environment where it is easier to concentrate	116	54.0%
Create more time for self/family/others	60	27.9%
Save money (dry cleaning, gas, auto repairs, lunches, etc.)	107	49.8%
Reduce stress that exists at the office	78	36.3%
Have a health condition that makes telework an attractive alternative	25	11.6%
Help the environment/conserve natural resources	70	32.6%
Increase personal safety (including commuting accidents)	70	32.6%
More productive	18	8.4%
Other	4	1.9%
No response	55	25.6%

Exhibit 10. Primary Reasons to Telework

Source: Telework Survey of employees from Dallas, Los Angeles, and Miami District Offices, and the WFO

To obtain a preliminary gauge as to the type of workspace that is most appealing to staff in the group of four and other staff, our survey and focus group meetings asked for opinions on smaller workspace and sharing a desk or workspace. Many staff indicated that either, or both, of these options, were reasonable. Exhibit 11 shows staff views on workspace options.

Exhibit 11. Opinions About Smaller Work Space and Office Sharing

In Order to Telework More Than One Day Per Week I Would Consider	Number of Respondents	Percentage Answered
I am not interested in teleworking more than once per week	28	13.0%
Working in a smaller work space	73	34.0%
Sharing a desk/work space	44	20.5%
No Response	98	45.6%

Source: Telework Survey of employees from Dallas, Los Angeles, and Miami District Offices, and the WFO Note: Percentage answered totals more than 100% because respondents could provide more than one response (i.e. "Working in a smaller work space" *and* "Sharing a desk/work space."

EEOC Office of Inspector General

DRAFT

Some staff in focus groups strongly identified with the concept of an individual office with themselves as the only occupant, regardless of how often the office would be occupied. This viewpoint was expressed strongly by several Trial Attorneys and Administrative Judges. The following needs were presented by some of these staff as support for the existing work space arrangement, regardless of telework frequency:

- Need for private conversation and meeting space
- Need for storage of paper files
- Private office is a factor that makes working in the federal government acceptable
- Private office shows clients and others that EEOC is successful

Managers have several other concerns about telework. Many Managers we interviewed, and Supervisors we met with in focus groups, are skeptical about the ability of many individual staff, and the office as a whole, to meet the needs of customers and stakeholders in a frequent telework environment.

Other concerns surfacing from focus groups and the survey included general unsuitability of their position for telework, accessibility of charging parties and other customers to EEOC staff, staying current with developments in the legal field (often through unplanned face-to-face interactions), privacy of EEOC staff personal information (i.e., home telephone number), and adequacy of equipment at the telework site. Employees in several field offices also cited management and supervisory issues as a major threat to successful implementation of frequent telework.

While most employees would prefer to telework frequently, some employees cited a variety of reasons they prefer not to telework more often. The four reasons with more than a five percent response rate were:

- Position/work not suited for telework (12.6 percent of respondents to the survey)
- Lack of adequate computer and/or other equipment (7.4 percent of respondents)
- Can focus better at the office (6.5 percent of respondents)
- Cannot work at home/home is not a suitable work environment (5.1 percent of respondents)

Exhibit 12 shows number and percentage of survey respondents identifying reasons not to telework.

ts Respondents 4 1.9% 3 1.4% 11 5.1% 14 6.5% 8 2.7%
3 1.4% 11 5.1% 14 6.5%
11 5.1% 14 6.5%
14 6.5%
14 6.5%
0 2 70/
8 3.7%
16 7.4%
2 0.9%
27 12.6%
0 0.0%
2 0.9%
1 0.5%
0 0.0%
2 0.9%
1 0.5%
1 0.5%
0 0.0%
0 0.0%
62 75.3%

Exhibit 12. Reasons Not to Telework

Source: Telework Survey of employees from Dallas, Los Angeles, and Miami District Offices, and the WFO

In the focus groups, some employees stated that Managers and Supervisors place undue obstacles on teleworkers in the current Telework Program. Such obstacles include requirements for teleworkers (and not for other staff) to produce a daily work plan that involves only certain types of products, and to report daily on whether the plan was accomplished.

While a home office environment is often conducive for tasks requiring uninterrupted thought, frequent telework cannot be highly effective if communication between EEOC staff and customers (internal and external) is considered optional or unimportant.

2.2 Monetary Costs and Benefits of Frequent Telework

For EEOC, implementing a frequent telework program without cost savings would present major financial hurdles. First year costs for such a program in the offices we visited would be about \$1.7 million. Many private sector organizations and some public sector organizations have achieved cost savings through telework implementation. Therefore, careful examination of all major costs and savings are essential to determining if EEOC should proceed with a frequent telework program. To document and calculate costs and savings associated with implementing frequent telework, the OIG developed a cost model.

2.2.1 Major Cost and Saving Categories

The major cost and benefit categories affected by adoption of a frequent telework program at the EEOC field offices we included in our cost model are:

- Information technology
- Telecommunication
- Facility/Real Estate
- Training

Information Technology

Replicating, to the extent practical, central office information technology capabilities for frequent teleworkers is the guiding principle we used to determine frequent teleworker needs. Frequent teleworkers should be able to conduct the bulk of their duties from their home (or a telecenter). With this philosophy, we developed the following needs that apply to all frequent teleworkers:

- Laptop 1 laptop per teleworker for use in both central office and at remote site
- Docking station 1 per individual for central office use
- Standard EEOC software already licensed software for laptop use (at no cost)
 - 4 in 1 multifunction machine a printer, fax, copier and scanner³

Telecommunication

In order to maximize teleworker effectiveness, we decided to include costs for a FTS phone line, a telephone that is dual line, speaker-capable, and that has the following services:

• Caller ID

•

- Call number block
- Conference call
- Internet service provider (EEOC holds a contract with AT&T)

Facility/Real Estate

One of the benefits organizations may realize from frequent teleworking is the need for less office space, resulting in reduced real estate costs. To ensure cost accuracy and limit the number

³ We note that a 2002 study, conducted by Booz Allen Hamilton at the request of Congress, found that while some technology barriers exist, there is no single information technology barrier preventing or impeding telework implementation. The study states that as telework programs expand, several issues may become critical, including performance of legacy client-server applications and protection and security of agency information. For the purposes of studying costs for a frequent telework program at four offices, analysis of these issues is not applicable.

of variables in the model, we decided to employ the concept of office sharing for those employees who would be in the office less than 50 percent of the time. This means that for every two teleworkers, there will be one shared office. Each shared office will contain two workstations, including telephones. Therefore, on the occasions where both employees find themselves in the central office, each can perform their work with a minimal disruption.

For purposes of the cost model, it was decided that the office sharing concept would be employed whereby Alternative Dispute Resolution Mediators, Administrative Judges, Trial Attorneys and Investigators who telework 3 or more days a week, or 2 days a week with 5-4-9 or 4-10 schedule would share an office. OIG believes that other positions and/or individuals in other positions *may be* well-suited for telework. Therefore, as appropriate, offices could include other types of staff and/or individuals in a frequent telework program, thereby increasing savings resulting from reduced real estate costs.

For the two offices in commercial spaces (WFO and Miami), our project verified that timing for implementing telework is critical for cost savings. Cost analysis and expert opinion show commercial space is prohibitively expensive to vacate before the lease expires. Therefore, the cost model for commercial space assumes that the telework program begins with a new lease. Leases for the WFO and the Miami offices expire in April 2004.

Training

We obtained estimates of costs required to educate employees on the how to be productive in a remote setting while working away from the central office. Training costs also include courses for non-teleworkers, Supervisors, and Managers.

Total Costs

We estimated the amounts for each cost category described above. Exhibit 13 shows the combined costs (of offices we visited) for each category required for successful implementation of a frequent telework.

Exhibit 13. Costs to Implement Frequent Telework (2003-2007)

Category	Cost
Information Technology	\$413,400
Telecommunication	\$706,889
Facility/Real Estate	\$452,572
Training	\$162,150
Total	\$1,735,011

Source: Clifton Gunderson LLP, EEOC Telework Cost Model, and August 2002

EEOC Office of Inspector General

Savings Not Quantified in the Model

Savings in recruitment/retention costs, a major potential monetary benefit of frequent telework, is not included in the cost model because we did not identify reliable data showing those benefits of frequent telework in this area. However, given that telework is highly valued by many employees, we believe there will be substantial cost savings in the retention/recruitment area. For example, it costs about \$7,000 to recruit an employee, so if each of the four field offices retained one staff person each year due to the frequent telework program, \$28,000 in savings would accrue to EEOC annually. This figure does not include training that is provided to new employees, and lost productivity until the new employee is as productive as the employee he/she replaced.

There will also be savings from reduced costs for transit subsidies. Beginning in FY 2003, all qualified employees in the offices we visited will be eligible for subsidies. Savings will accrue as a result of frequent telework because some frequent teleworkers will not receive a subsidy, or will receive a lesser subsidy, because they would incur lower transit costs. However, we did not estimate savings because the data cannot accurately project the number or the amount of reduced subsidies.

Costs Not Quantified in the Model

Reasonable accommodation items may be needed for a small number of staff. Currently, several staff in Dallas, Los Angeles, and Miami may have special needs. Items that these, or other staff, could need include:

- Special keyboard
- Software that translates text into audio (i.e., The Dragon "naturally speaking" program)
- Large and/or high resolution computer monitor

Additional protection of data contained on laptop computers may be useful. In particular, encryption technology could be advisable. Encryption software limits access to sensitive data. For example, encryption software can prevent a thief from accessing confidential interview information stored on a teleworker's laptop computer.

Some frequent teleworkers will require more, or fewer, resources than those allocated to the teleworkers in the cost model. For example, some Trial Attorneys may need a laser printer in order to expedite delivery of documents to the courts or law firms, while some staff may not need the additional storage provided by the two lateral file cabinets included in the cost model. In addition, change management workshops may be useful, though not essential, as a complement to telework training. Given the considerable cost savings projected for each district office we visited, and that some teleworkers will not require all items budgeted to them in the cost model, we believe that additional costs for individuals will not have a major impact on cost savings.

Other Assumptions and Features of the Cost Model

The cost model includes no changes to Headquarters infrastructure backbone, and no acquisition of central office scanners and accompanying resources to reduce the need to access paper files. To maximize teleworker productivity, central office scanners and staff to make documents available in electronic format would be helpful, though they are not essential. Because such acquisitions are not core to implementing telework in a small number of pilot offices, they are not included.

2.2.2 Results From the Cost Model

The results from the cost models show large cumulative net savings, about \$1.3 million for the Optimum Model. Annual net savings are strongly negative in the first year, due to start up costs. In the second through fifth year, savings are substantial and steady.

The models also show that savings are significantly higher under the Optimum Model, than using the Survey Model. An analysis of cumulative cost savings shows that costs outweigh savings for the first two years, then savings rapidly accumulate. Savings will continue to accrue beyond five years, but for display purposes, only the first five years of savings are shown. Exhibit 14 shows cumulative savings for each office and in total.



Exhibit 14. Cumulative Savings for Each Office and in Total

Source: Clifton Gunderson LLP, EEOC Telework Cost Model, August 2002

Exhibit 15 shows Cumulative savings under the Optimum Model are significantly higher than under the Survey Model.

Office and Model	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	
Dallas Optimum	\$(190,533)	\$ (90,660)	\$14,880	\$126,302	\$243,829	
Dallas Survey	(117,347)	(65,511)	(10,640)	47,383	108,676	
Los Angeles Optimum	(152,992)	(40,146)	73,559	188,129	303,568	
Los Angeles Survey	(95,597)	(22,677)	50,799	124,835	199,434	
Miami Optimum	(179,536)	(43,879)	98,595	248,146	405,041	
Miami Survey	(118,488)	(27,087)	68,858	169,520	275,080	
WFO Optimum	(46,923)	40,457	132,346	228,924	330,379	
WFO Survey	(29,624)	5,719	43,112	82,636	124,376	
Cumulative Savings Optimum	(569,984)	(134,228)	319,381	791,501	1,282,818	
Cumulative Savings Survey	(361,056)	(109,557)	152,129	424,374	707,566	

Exhibit 15. Cumulative Savings Under the Optimum and Survey Model

Source: Clifton Gunderson LLP, EEOC Telework Cost Model, August 2002

After five years, cumulative savings for the Optimum Model are \$600,000 greater than the Survey Model savings, (\$1.3 million, compared to \$0.7 million). We note that start up costs for the Survey Model are lower, which explains the lower loss/higher savings in years 1-3. Exhibit 15 shows cumulative savings for each office and overall, for the Optimum and Survey Models.

Year by year savings analysis show that net savings are negative in 2003 and then positive, significant, and steady in years 2004-2007. Exhibit 16 shows expected *annual cost* savings due to frequent telework, for the Optimum Model.

Exhibit 16. Annual Net Cost Savings Due to Frequent Telework, Optimum Model		
(Negative Numbers in Parentheses)		

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	Totals
Dallas	\$(190,533)	\$99,874	\$105,540	\$111,422	\$117,527	\$243,829
Los Angeles	(152,992)	112,846	113,705	114,570	115,439	303,568
Miami	(179,536)	135,657	142,474	149,551	156,896	405,041
WFO	(46,923)	87,379	91,889	96,578	101,454	330,379
Totals	\$(569,984)	\$435,756	\$453,609	\$472,120	\$491,316	\$1,282,818

Source: Clifton Gunderson LLP, EEOC Telework Cost Model, and August 2002

EEOC Office of Inspector General

Savings from Frequent Telework as a Percentage of Real Estate Costs

Another way to examine savings from frequent telework is to assess savings as a percentage of total costs. In this analysis, annual net savings (savings on real estate from telework *minus* the costs of telework) are divided by the cost of real estate if frequent telework is not implemented. Savings as a percentage of real estate costs for the four offices we visited range from six percent to 13 percent

As a whole, there is large negative percentages/savings in the first year, with significant and steady positive percentages/savings for years two-five. Exhibit 17 shows the overall savings as a percent of annual real estate costs for each office.



Exhibit 17. Overall Savings as a Percent of Annual Real Estate Costs

Source: Clifton Gunderson LLP, EEOC Telework Cost Model, and August 2002

If the savings cited above in Exhibit 17 are realized and could then be replicated at other EEOC field offices, savings would be large, given that in 2002 the District Offices and WFO real estate costs were about \$21 million. EEOC Headquarters faces a unique set of circumstances, given that it is the largest piece of EEOC real estate and the lease does not expire until 2008. The examination of vacating commercial space before lease expiration shows that it would present a challenge for EEOC to achieve real estate savings at its Headquarters building by simply vacating.

However, other options for real estate savings through frequent telework are possible for the Headquarters Building including:

- Subleasing large amounts of contiguous space
- Bringing the WFO into the Headquarters building
- Buying out of the lease

Therefore, if frequent telework were adopted and proved successful, implementation at other field offices would need to match the lease expiration schedules. However, for field offices in federal buildings, vacating is less costly in the short term, and could be performed according to EEOC needs, given EEOC consults with GSA as appropriate.

2.3 Conclusions and Recommendations

Conclusions

- 1. Escalating real estate costs for EEOC illustrate the importance of focusing on ways to more effectively manage its infrastructure.
- 2. Much of the work of Trial Attorneys, Administrative Judges, Investigators, and Mediators is well suited for frequent telework.
- 3. Many Managers and Supervisors believe that a significant number of staff may not produce as much work product or be able to provide services as effectively if they telework frequently.
- 4. Staff, Managers, and Supervisors share concerns for ensuring that EEOC conducts business efficiently if greater telework is implemented (e.g., assuring high-quality intake processes).
- 5. Major cost savings can be achieved at each of the offices we visited, beginning in the second year of frequent telework. Major cumulative savings begin in the third year.

EEOC Office of Inspector General

DRAFT

- 6. Federal office space offers greater flexibility in when to implement frequent telework to achieve cost savings.
- 7. Savings are maximized through use of the Optimum Model.
- 8. Due to the up front costs of frequent telework, and the financial advantages to beginning frequent telework near lease expiration, piloting at all district offices immediately would not be prudent.
- 9. If savings estimated in the cost model could be applied to all EEOC district offices, savings would be substantial, and average about 10% of real estate costs for those offices, over a five year period.
- 10. Options for real estate savings through frequent telework may be possible for the Headquarters Building (e.g., subleasing parts of the building, bringing the WFO into the Headquarters building, or buying out of the lease).

Recommendations

- 1. Consider implementing a pilot frequent teleworking effort to achieve cost savings for the following offices:
- Dallas District Office
- Los Angeles District Office
- Miami District Office
- Washington Field Office

Frequent teleworking at each of the offices we visited should seek to maximize cost savings while minimizing financial and program risks.

2. EEOC should join one or more telework organizations, such as Mid Atlantic Telework Association Council and International Telework Association Council. Benefits include access to telework experts and opportunities to network with other federal agencies engaged in telework efforts.

APPENDIX A: Acronym List

CFO	Chief Financial Officer
FY	Fiscal Year
GAO	U.S. General Accounting Office
GPRA	Government Performance and Results Act
GSA	General Services Administration
IG	Inspector General
OIG	Office of Inspector General
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
OPM	Office of Personnel Management
ORIP	Office of Research, Information and Planning
WFO	Washington Field Office

Appendix B: Federal Government and Telework

This appendix contains information on the involvement of the federal government in telework, including telework and infrastructure related legislation. In 1934, the National Credit Union Administration employed examiners who conducted examinations at credit union sites and completed their reports from home. Several Federal government agencies experimented with telework in the 1980s. At this time, the National Institutes of Health, Department of the Army, and Environmental Protection Agency began telework programs, often referred to as Flexiplace.

Federal telework gained popularity in the 1990s in light of concerns about the Federal workforce, particularly the ability to attract and retain highly skilled workers. In 1990, a task force that included OPM and GSA approved government wide Flexiplace, to be conducted by agencies on a one-year pilot basis. By 1993 about 700 Federal employees were Flexiplace participants. In the 1990s, as Flexiplace participation increased, the Federal government encouraged telework through an executive memorandum and partnerships with the private sector. Also, in 1996, the President's Management Council began promoting telework. By 1998, about 1% of the Federal workplace were teleworkers, less than half of the Federal government's goal and far below the 8-11% of the US workforce.

A November 2001 study by the Office of Personnel Management placed the number of federal teleworkers (combined regular and infrequent teleworkers) at 74,487, a 39.5 percent increase from April 2001. Even with this increase, federal teleworkers are only 4.2 percent of workers at 63 agencies providing telework data. Recent initiatives, some adopted after the terrorist attack of September 11, 2001, may result in significant increases in federal teleworkers. For example, the Department of Defense announced a telework policy that encourages all eligible department civil service employees to telecommute regularly (at least once every two weeks). EEOC is one of 13 agencies with telework utilization rate of over 20 percent.

GSA and OPM offer a variety of resources to assist federal organizations interested in telework. The Office of Personnel Management and the General Services Administration are also lead agencies for the Federal teleworking initiative. The GSA Governmentwide Telework Team serves as the nexus for policy development, outreach, and collaborative partnerships to further the advancement of telework throughout the Federal government. The Telework Team provides a variety of services such as:

- develops policy concerning the alternative workplace
- promotes telework to and networks with federal, private, and other customers
- offers technical support, consultation, research, and development to its customers.

We note that GSA has made its staff available to us throughout this project, and that GSA has expressed interest in assisting EEOC, should EEOC decide to implement frequent telework

Federal Policies and Guidance Related to Telework

INFRASTRUCTURE

1. Executive Order 12072-Federal Space Management Requires Federal agencies to give priority to the Centralized Community Business Area when locating Federal facilities in urban areas

2. Executive Order 12411-Government Work Space Management Reforms Requires heads of Federal Executive agencies to establish programs to produce and maintain an inventory of work space and related furnishings, reduce the amount of work space used or held to essential minimums, and report to the GSA unnecessary holdings.

3. Executive Order 12512-Federal Real Property Management Directs GSA to provide policy leadership governmentwide for Federal real property management; to establish standards and procedures for Federal agencies' review of real property; to conduct utilization surveys; and to provide leadership in the development of property management information systems.

4. Federal Property Management Regulations, Subchapter D, Public Buildings and Space, Part 101-17, Assignment and Utilization of Space (41 CFR Subchapter D, Appendix, Temp. Reg. D-1) Prescribes the procedures for the assignment, use, and location of Government-owned or leased space under the authority of GSA.

TELEWORK

5. *Public Law 106-346, Section 359* instructs Federal agencies (1) to remove telework barriers and increase actual participation, (2) to establish telework eligibility criteria, and (3) allow to telework those employees who meet criteria. The law requires these requirements to be applied to 100% of the Federal workforce by April, 2004.

6. Agency Telecenter Expenses Omnibus Appropriation Act, Title IV, Section 630. Sec. 630. (a) Flexiplace Work Telecommuting Programs.—For fiscal year 1999 and each fiscal year thereafter, of the funds made available to each Executive agency for salaries and expenses, at a minimum \$50,000 shall be available only for the necessary expenses of the Executive agency to carry out a Flexiplace work telecommuting program.

Appendix C: Sources of Additional Telework Information

The following publications and websites provide links to information and organizations concerned with telework and infrastructure issues.

Federal Government Information Sources

Joint GSA and OPM telework website http://www.telework.gov This site also includes links to federal telework programs and telework publications including *Expert Consensus on Recommended Practices for Telework Program*. This document provides effective practices for establishing successful telework programs.

Telework Organizations

American Telecommuting Association (ATA) Canadian Telework Association (CTA) European's Telework Association (TCA) International Telework Association & Council (ITAC) Mid Atlantic Telecommuting Advisory Council (matac) Telecommuting Safety and Health Benefits Institute (TSHBI)